## E-01345A-13-0069 Additions to My Appeal



ACC Commissioners & Director Olea ECEIVED
ACC Commission
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

JAN 2 3 2015

JURP COMMISSION

RE: APS SOLAR DISCRIMINATION Appeal

Dear Commissioners,

DOCKETED BY

ing with

Yesterday, I was accused by an activist of merely haggling with

APS over the price of our slavery. This is outrageous.

ORIGINAL

I hold that AMI meets the definitions for a "weapon of mass destruction (WMD)" and a "crime against humanity." I am convinced that AMI is a technology that should be immediately recalled because of its great potential for harm to all of us.

Per A.R.S. 40-253, as an intervener in docket E-01345A-13-0069, I additionally add to my appeal regarding your Decision #74871 that apparently intends to selectively discriminate and harm solar customers more than all non-solar customers, all of the additional information referred to in this document. As part of this appeal I of course am against ALL AMI activity including but not limited to its harmful AMI mesh network and AMI meters.

As an intervener in Docket E-01345A-13-0069 and Docket E-00000C-11-0328 I add everything I have written or posted on both dockets for potential use in my Appeal against APS' use of AMI in general - information which is also basic to the reasons why solar customers in specific are being harmed. The basic issue of harm is from AMI technology. I also add all of the information in these two dockets at this time and in the future. AMI evolves, information about it evolves and is therefore reasonably included in our response. Just because APS seeks to limit information, we do not.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> January 21, 2015 MEMORANDUM. Pg. 2, #1.5 on APS fines.

## E-01345A-13-0069 Additions to My Appeal

How can something be considered "in the public interest" that has not considered our Arizona laws? I object heartily that neither APS nor the ACC seem to have included our Arizona Statutes in their considerations and in their documents. I object that the ADHS Public Health Evaluation of Radio Frequency Exposure from Electronic Meters did not once mention compliance with our Arizona statues such as A.R.S. 40-361B, A.R.S.40-321.A, A.R.S.40-202.C.1, A.R.S. 44-1522, 40-334.A & B and any other statutes research and information that I many not yet know about that are applicable to circumstances as they arise. After all, in the July 30, 2007 Docket E-00000A-06-0038 Decision #69736 The Commission agreed in section #17 that "AMI... is still an evolving technology."

APS <u>Elster REX2</u> meters have a third slot available for "open architecture framework for third party technology innovation supporting the Advanced Grid Infrastructure Initiative." WE AS CUSTOMERS CAN NOT KNOW WHAT APS HAS IN STORE FOR US WHEN **THEY HAVEN'T EVEN DECIDED!** I OBJECT IF APS IS NOT REQUIRED TO INFORM THE PUBLIC OF ANY CHNAGED USE OF ITS PROBABLY ILLEGAL METERS ON RESIDENCES? I object if APS chooses to *Trojanize existing or new meters. Most certainly they will be worse for customers. Trojanized meters should be subject to gargantuan fines payable to those they harm.* 

One wonders if APS itself will even know if so called smart meters placed on the homes of Arizona citizens are hacked or utilized through back door technologies. APS admitted at the ACC March 2012 smart meter workshop that it did not have the access codes to its meters and did not know who may have the access codes. This is a concern for all of us who are exposed to an AMI system.

Although I have taken the seemingly illogical position that APS solar customers should have equal freedom of choice, to be extorted by the APS' so called 'opt-out' program, I don't approve of extortion nor do I approve of APS AMI pulsed microwave program for harming its captive "slaves" with the APS AMI mesh network technology.

## E-01345A-13-0069 Additions to My Appeal

I fundamentally believe that AMI is an evil technology, that is weaponized and harmful in many ways to humans, animals, plants: to our natural environment. AMI has not been stringently vetted by a NEPA environmental impact study to prove it safe.

Regular APS customers are allowed to **completely 'opt-out.'**Regular APS customers do not have to be forcefully microwaved by a so called 'smart' AMI meter. **APS is discriminating against solar customers by not allowing them** the same freedom of choice as other customers to pay an extortion fee if they feel it is the least harmful choice available to them.

Respectfully submitted,

Patricia Christensen Ferre

## Included in my APPEAL:

- Dr. Powell's Chart November 18, 2014
   http://images.edocket.azcc:gov/docketpdf/0000158108.pdf
- Dangerous Radiation Everywhere Nov. Former MI5 Agent Dr Barrie Trower Interview by Mind Control expert Dr. Henning Witte http://images.edocket.azcc.gov/docketpdf/0000157944.pdf
- AMI Fetus Mortality and Birth Defects Nov.6, 2014
   http://images.edocket.azcc.gov/docketpdf/0000157848.pdf
- Crimes Against Humanity Oct 21, 2014
   http://images.edocket.azcc.gov/docketpdf/0000156838.pdf
- Second Amended Declaration of Curtis Bennet 10/2014
   http://images.edocket.azcc.gov/docketpdf/0000156217.pdf
- Diagnosis: "Extinction" Curtis Bennett July 15, 2014
   http://images.edocket.azcc.gov/docketpdf/0000154683.pdf
- Not-so-smart APS AMI WMD June 25, 2014 http://images.edocket.azcc.gov/docketpdf/0000154321.pdf
- EXTORTION Position Statement on Digital Utility Metering http://images.edocket.azcc.gov/docketpdf/0000143981.pdf